UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO. 1:25-cv-21291-LEIBOWITZ/AUGUSTIN-BIRCH

Plaintiff,

 ν .

J & J GREEN PAPER, INC., et al.,

Defendants.		
		/

ORDER OF REFERENCE TO MAGISTRATE JUDGE

THIS CAUSE is before the Court on the parties' Joint Consent to U.S. Magistrate Judge Jurisdiction (the "Consent") [ECF No. 53] in this Fair Labor Standards Act case.

The Federal Magistrate Act provides that:

Upon the consent of the parties, a full-time United States magistrate judge or a parttime United States magistrate judge who serves as a full-time judicial officer may conduct any or all proceedings in a jury or nonjury civil matter and order the entry of judgment in the case, when specially designated to exercise such jurisdiction by the district court or courts he serves.

28 U.S.C. § 636(c)(1). "Unlike nonconsensual referrals of pretrial but case-dispositive matters under § 636(b)(1), which leave the district court free to do as it sees fit with the magistrate judge's recommendations, a § 636(c)(1) referral gives the magistrate full authority ... all without district court review." *Roell v. Withrow*, 538 U.S. 580, 585 (2003).

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 73(a) provides that "a magistrate judge may, if all parties consent, conduct a civil action or proceeding, including a jury or nonjury trial." Fed. R. Civ. P. 73(a). Rule 73(a) "incorporates by reference the jurisdictional provision of § 636(c) but more clearly indicates that any proceeding in a civil case may be conducted by a magistrate judge sitting with the consent of the parties." *Peer v. Lewis,* No. 06-60146-CIV, 2011 WL 3300137, at *5 (S.D. Fla. Aug. 2, 2011) (citation

omitted). "The magistrate judge's authority when presiding by special designation—and with the

consent of the parties—is that of the district court; he or she therefore may perform all of the

functions of a district judge." Id. Once consent has been given for a full referral under 28 U.S.C. §

636(c), the district judge's supervisory authority is limited to consideration of timely motions to vacate

the referral. *Id.* (citations omitted).

In the Consent, the parties agree to have Magistrate Judge Augustin-Birch "conduct all further

proceedings in this case, including the trial and order the entry of a final judgment." [ECF No. 53].

Accordingly, it is hereby **ORDERED AND ADJUDGED** that this case be referred to the Honorable

Panayotta Augustin-Birch, United States Magistrate Judge, for all further proceedings in this case in

accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), Fed. R. Civ. P. 73, and the consent of the parties.

DONE AND ORDERED in the Southern District of Florida on September 29, 2025.

DAVID S. LEIBOWITZ

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

cc: counsel of record